

Overview

In the field of airborne cloud sampling there is currently different methods to properly handle the processing of data taken by particle imaging probes when the entire particle was unable to be captured optically. Differences in the processing of partially captured particles results in variations in the calculated properties of the clouds being sampled. One such property is the particle radiation backscatter. Backscatter is heavily dependent on the cloud particle habit and the size distribution of the cloud particles. How one handles the processing of partial particles directly affects the determined particle size distribution and thus the calculated backscatter. This study will look at three different methods of handling such particles and attempt to determine the variation in the calculations of the single scattering properties of cirrus clouds which result from utilizing the different processing methods.

The University of North Dakota's Citation Research Aircraft was used during eight flights in Florida to take in-situ measurements of high-altitude cirrus clouds during the CAPE2015 research project. Particle imaging probes onboard included a two-dimensional stereographic (2D-S) probe and a 'High Volume Precipitation Spectrometer version 3 (HVPS3) probe. The clouds observed were in thunderstorm anvil outflow regions between 29,000 ft and 40,000 ft, and images indicated that many of the larger crystals (over 105 micrometers in diameter) had a similar habit structure. Three different size distribution calculation algorithms are to be performed on the 2D-S data: "reconstruction", "center-of-mass", and "all-in". The "reconstruction" method involves reconstructing edge images by mirroring the observed part of the particle. The "center-of-mass" method involves only using edge images where the center of mass of the particle is known to be within the image. The "allin" method does not use particles that are not entirely in the image. These three methods are to be evaluated by comparison with manual review of images for consistency. Each sizedistribution will be used to calculate bulk single-scattering properties of the cloud, including the backscatter coefficient, mean extinction efficiency, absorption efficiency, and asymmetry factor. The uncertainty of the bulk single-scattering properties is to be evaluated from the spread of applying the three different methods. Data gathered in North Carolina of 2014 (among other data sets) can also be used for further examination.

A Comparison of Size Distribution Calculation Methods on Single Scattering Properties of Anvil Cirrus Clouds

Shawn Wagner¹ (shawn.wagner@und.edu) and David Delene¹ (delene@aero.und.edu) ¹University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota



analysis of larger particles.

| Data | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Location | Year | 2DC | 2DS | CIP | CPI | CSI | HVPS3 | NEV |
| Georgian Bay | 2012 | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| North Carolina | 2014 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Florida | 2015 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | | | | | | | | |
| North Dakota | 2010 | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| | 2011 | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark |
| | 2012 | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | 2014 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | 2015 | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | \checkmark | \checkmark |

2DC = 2 Dimensional Cloud Probe 2DS = 2 Dimensional Stereo Probe CIP = Cloud Imaging Probe CSI = Cloud Spectrometer and Impactor HVPS3 = High Volume Precipitation Spectromer Version 3 NEV = Nevzorov Liquid Water Content and Total Water Content Probe



Image showing example 2D-S samples from the CAPE2015 July 30th flight at approximately 1830 UTC (mid-flight). Many of the particles are only partially captured on the edges of the images (red circles). the "reconstruction" and "all-in" processing methods will result in those partial captures particles being processed differently, which could produce significantly different size distributions.

SCIENCES



Image showing the size spectrum sampled by the 2D-S during the entirety of the CAPE2015 July 30th flight using the automated "reconstruction" processing method within the Airborne Data Processing and Analysis (ADPAA) software package [Delene, 2011].

Future Work

Process the CAPE2015 field project using "all-in" and "center-of-mass".

Use each processing methodology to calculate a size spectrum and the backscatter coefficient.

The backscatter coefficient equation [Beuth et al., 2014] is given by:

$$\beta_i(\varphi = \pi) = n_i \int_{r_{\min}}^{r_{\max}} \frac{d\sigma_i(r,\varphi=\pi)}{d\Omega} \eta_i(r) dr$$

 β = backscatter coefficient r = particle radius φ = scattering angle $n_i = lognormal scaling factor$ $\eta_i = \text{lognormal distribution function}$ $d\sigma_i(r,\varphi=\pi) = differential backscatter cross section$

Acknowledgments

This research is being supported by a Research ND grant from the North Dakota Department of Commerce.

References

Beuth, T., et al., 2014: Calculation of backscatter coefficients for lidar systems by Miescattering theory and atmospheric properties. DGaO Proceedings 2014, Germany, DGaO Proceedings, http://www.dgao-proceedings.de/download/115/115 p33.pdf.

Delene, D.J., 2011: Airborne data processing and analysis software package. *Earth Sci Inform*, **4**, 29-44, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12145-010-0061-4.